	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/612,871	OTSUKI, KOICHI		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
· · · · ·	Quang N. Vo	2625		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	•			
Period for Reply		·		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti- vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed nthe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju	<u>ıly 2007</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	,			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau		-3		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
•				
Attachment(s)	_			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)			

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,871	07/07/2003	Koichi Otsuki	Q76468	6980	
23373 7590 09/06/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		EXAMINER			
		<i>7</i> .	VO, QU	VO, QUANG N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
W/16/11/10/10/1, BC 2005/	2625				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,871 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.

- I. Claims 15, 16 drawn to a printing method for performing predetermined processing with respect to image data, classified in class 358, subclass 2.1.
- II. Claims 1-13 drawn to a memory card, classified in class 455, subclass 41.3.
- III. Claim 14 drawn to a memory card, classified in class 455, subclass 41.3.

Invention I, and (II, III) are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations. (MPEP 806.05 (c)).

In the instant case, the combination (a printing method) as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination (II, III) as claimed for patentability because: the specific in the subcombination (II, III) claim 1 recites, for example, a memory card that is provided independent of a printing apparatus having a processor that performs predetermined processing with respect to image data, is particular which is not required in

the combination (I) claim 15 for patentability and the subcombination (II, III) has separate utility such as storing image data/document for PDA or digital camera.

Restriction for examination purpose as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the invention has acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their divergent subject matter;
 - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different class/subclass or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even

Application/Control Number: 10/612,871

Art Unit: 2625

though the restriction may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

Shall applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence of admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct II and III are species of the claimed invention:
- II. Species of the embodiment disclosed on paragraphs 0024, 0025 (US 2005/0275872A1) (claims 1-13).

Art Unit: 2625

III. Species of the embodiment disclosed on paragraphs 52-54 (US 2005/0275872A1) (claim 14).

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variant of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under U.S.C.101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply

Art Unit: 2625

does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Page 6

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang N. Vo whose telephone number is 5712701121. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM-5:00PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, King Y. Poon can be reached on 5712727440. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Quang N. Vo 8/29/07

Quangto

KING Y. POON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM.